



TITLE:

Discrete series for symmetric spaces over p -adic fields (Automorphic forms, trace formulas and zeta functions)

AUTHOR(S):

Kato, Shin-ichi; Takano, Keiji

CITATION:

Kato, Shin-ichi ...[et al]. Discrete series for symmetric spaces over p -adic fields (Automorphic forms, trace formulas and zeta functions). 数理解析研究所講究録 2011, 1767: 14-24

ISSUE DATE:

2011-10

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/171452>

RIGHT:

Discrete series for symmetric spaces over p -adic fields

Shin-ichi Kato (Dept. of Math., Kyoto University)

加藤 信一 (京都大・理学研究科)

Keiji Takano (Akashi National College of Technology)

高野 啓児 (明石工業高専)

0 Introduction

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group over a non-archimedean local field F equipped with an F -involution $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$, and \mathbf{H} the subgroup of all σ -fixed points of \mathbf{G} . The quotient space G/H of F -points $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ by $H = \mathbf{H}(F)$ is called a symmetric space over F . We are interested in representations of G which can be realized in the space of functions on G/H . Such representations are said to be H -distinguished. We are concerned especially with representations which can be realized in the space $L^2(G/Z_G H)$ (in rough notation) of square integrable functions on $G/Z_G H$, where Z_G denotes the center of G . An irreducible representation having such a realization is said to be in *the discrete series for G/H* .

We give a criterion for such realizability, i.e., square integrability on G/H , in terms of *exponents* of Jacquet modules. The result has already appeared in [KT2]. In this report we give a brief survey of the main result of [KT2] (and also a part of our preceding work [KT1]). It is a symmetric space analogue of Casselman's well-known criterion for the group case ([C], which we recall below in Section 1). In our symmetric space analogue, we only consider Jacquet modules along σ -split parabolics, and consider exponents on (σ, F) -split components (see Section 3). The set of *relative exponents* used in our criterion is given in 5.1, and the main theorem is stated in 5.2. Several examples are included in the final section.

1 Casselman's criterion for the usual square integrability

Let F be a non-archimedean local field with the valuation ring \mathcal{O} and the absolute value $|\cdot|_F$. Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group defined over F and \mathbf{Z} the F -split component of \mathbf{G} , that is, the largest F -split torus in the center of \mathbf{G} . Let us write $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$, $Z = \mathbf{Z}(F)$.

Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of G . Suppose that $\pi|_Z$ is a unitary character of Z . Let $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ denote the contragredient of (π, V) . The usual matrix coefficients of π are functions on G of the form

$$c_{v, \tilde{v}}(g) = \langle \tilde{v}, \pi(g^{-1})v \rangle \quad (g \in G)$$

for $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$. The representation π is said to be square integrable (in the usual sense) if

$$\int_{G/Z} |c_{v, \tilde{v}}(g)|^2 dg < \infty$$

for all $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$.

We briefly say that P is a parabolic subgroup of G if P is the group of F -points of a parabolic F -subgroup $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}$ of \mathbf{G} etc, by abuse of terminology.

For a smooth representation (π, V) of G and a parabolic subgroup $P = MU$, let (π_P, V_P) denote the normalized Jacquet module of (π, V) along P : The space V_P is the quotient of V by the M -stable subspace

$$V(U) = \langle \{ \pi(u)v - v \mid u \in U, v \in V \} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $j_P : V \rightarrow V/V(U) = V_P$ be the canonical projection. Then M acts on V_P by

$$\pi_P(m)j_P(v) = \delta_P^{-1/2}(m)j_P(\pi(m)v)$$

where δ_P is the modulus of P . It is known ([C, §3]) that if π is finitely generated (resp. admissible), then so is the M -module π_P .

Let $\mathcal{X}(A)$ be the set of all quasi-characters of the F -split component A of the Levi subgroup M . For a $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(A)$, consider the *generalized χ -eigenspace*

$$(V_P)_{\chi, \infty} = \left\{ \bar{v} \in V_P \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists a } d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \\ (\pi_P(a) - \chi(a))^d \cdot \bar{v} = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A \end{array} \right\}.$$

A quasi-character $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(A)$ is called an *exponent* of π_P if $(V_P)_{\chi, \infty} \neq \{0\}$. The set of all exponents of π_P is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_A(\pi_P)$.

The set $\mathcal{E}_A(\pi_P)$ is finite if π is finitely generated and admissible. One has a direct sum decomposition

$$V_P = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_A(\pi_P)} (V_P)_{\chi, \infty}.$$

Set

$$A^- = \{a \in A \mid |a^\alpha|_F \leq 1 \text{ for all simple roots } \alpha\}.$$

Let us take up the following condition imposed on P :

$$(b_P) \quad |\chi(a)| < 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in \mathcal{E}_A(\pi_P) \text{ and all } a \in A^- \setminus Z\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{O}_F).$$

Now the well-known Casselman's criterion is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Casselman [C, 4.4.6]) *A finitely generated admissible representation π of G is square integrable if and only if the condition (b_P) is satisfied for every parabolic subgroup P of G .*

2 H -square integrable representations

From now on we assume that the residual characteristic of F is not equal to 2. Let $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be an F -involution on \mathbf{G} . We put

$$\mathbf{H} = \{h \in \mathbf{G} \mid \sigma(h) = h\}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_0 = (\{z \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \sigma(z) = z^{-1}\})^0,$$

We call \mathbf{Z}_0 the (σ, F) -split component of \mathbf{G} . We write $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$, $H = \mathbf{H}(F)$ etc.

A smooth representation (π, V) of G is said to be *H -distinguished* if the space $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = (V^*)^H$ of H -invariant linear forms on V is nonzero. For a while, suppose that $\pi|_{Z_0}$ is a unitary character of Z_0 , say, $\omega_0 : Z_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^\times$. Let (π, V) be H -distinguished and take a non-zero H -invariant linear forms $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. We consider functions $\varphi_{\lambda, v}$ on G/H for $v \in V$ defined by

$$\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g) = \langle \lambda, \pi(g^{-1})v \rangle \quad (g \in G).$$

Such functions are called (H, λ) -*matrix coefficients* of π . Note that these are not the matrix coefficients in the usual sense, but are *generalized* matrix coefficients, since

H -invariant linear forms are not smooth in general. We have an obvious equivariance for (H, λ) -matrix coefficients:

$$\varphi_{\lambda, v}(z_0 g h) = \omega_0(z_0)^{-1} \varphi_{\lambda, v}(g) \quad \forall z_0 \in Z_0, g \in G, h \in H.$$

We also have $\varphi_{\lambda, \pi(g)v} = L(g)\varphi_{\lambda, v}$ (where $L(\cdot)\varphi$ denotes the left translation). Thus, for a fixed $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$, the set of functions $\{\varphi_{\lambda, v} \mid v \in V\}$ gives a realization of π in the space of functions on G/H .

Since \mathbf{H} is reductive, H is unimodular. So the quotient space G/Z_0H carries a unique (up to constant) left G -invariant measure, denoted by $\int_{G/Z_0H} \dots dg$.

Definition 2.1 We say that π is (H, λ) -square integrable if $|\varphi_{\lambda, v}(\cdot)|$ is square integrable on G/Z_0H for all $v \in V$, namely, if

$$\int_{G/Z_0H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g)|^2 dg < \infty$$

for all $v \in V$.

Remark 2.2 In our preceding work [KT1], we have defined that (π, V) is (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal if the support of $\varphi_{\lambda, v}$ is compact modulo Z_0H for all $v \in V$. So, by definition, (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal representations are (H, λ) -square integrable provided that ω_0 is unitary.

3 Tori and parabolics associated to σ

We recollect some notation and terminology for tori and parabolic subgroups associated to the involution σ . Basic reference is [HH].

Definition 3.1 (i) A parabolic F -subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} is said to be σ -split if \mathbf{P} and $\sigma(\mathbf{P})$ are opposite, i.e., if $\mathbf{P} \cap \sigma(\mathbf{P})$ is a $(\sigma$ -stable) Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P} .

(ii) An F -split torus \mathbf{S} is said to be (σ, F) -split if $\sigma(s) = s^{-1}$ hold for all $s \in \mathbf{S}$.

Let us fix a maximal (σ, F) -split torus \mathbf{S}_0 of \mathbf{G} and take a maximal F -split torus \mathbf{A}_\emptyset containing \mathbf{S}_0 . Then \mathbf{A}_\emptyset is necessarily σ -stable, so σ acts naturally on $X^*(\mathbf{A}_\emptyset)$. Let $\Phi \subset X^*(\mathbf{A}_\emptyset)$ be the root system of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset)$. It is σ -stable. We can choose a

σ -basis Δ of Φ that has the property

$$\alpha > 0, \sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha \implies \sigma(\alpha) < 0$$

in the corresponding order. The subset of all σ -fixed roots in Δ is denoted by Δ_σ .

Let \mathbf{P}_\emptyset be the minimal parabolic subgroup corresponding to the choice of Δ . Standard parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P}_I = \mathbf{M}_I \mathbf{U}_I$ (i.e., those containing \mathbf{P}_\emptyset) correspond to subsets I of Δ as usual. We can decide exactly when \mathbf{P}_I is σ -split.

Lemma 3.2 ([HH, 2.6], [KT1, 2.5]) (i) \mathbf{P}_I is σ -split if and only if $I \supset \Delta_\sigma$ and the subsystem Φ_I of Φ generated by I is σ -stable. (In such a case we call I a σ -split subset.)

(ii) Any σ -split parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} is written in the form $\gamma^{-1} \mathbf{P}_I \gamma$ for some σ -split subset $I \subset \Delta$ and $\gamma \in (\mathbf{M}_0 \mathbf{H})(F)$, where $\mathbf{M}_0 = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0)$ denotes the centralizer of \mathbf{S}_0 in \mathbf{G} .

Therefore, a minimal σ -split parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_0 of \mathbf{G} can be given as the one corresponding to the minimal σ -split subset $I = \Delta_\sigma$. Alternatively it is given by $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{P}_\emptyset \mathbf{M}_0$. Note also that \mathbf{M}_0 is the σ -stable Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P}_0 .

For a subset $I \subset \Delta$, let \mathbf{A}_I be the F -split component of \mathbf{M}_I . If I is a σ -split subset, let \mathbf{S}_I denote the σ -split part of \mathbf{A}_I , i.e., the identity component of $\mathbf{A}_I \cap \mathbf{S}_0$. We call \mathbf{S}_I the (σ, F) -split component of \mathbf{P}_I . For a positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$, we put

$$S_I^-(\varepsilon) = \{s \in S_I = \mathbf{S}_I(F) \mid |s^\alpha|_F \leq \varepsilon \ (\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I)\}$$

and

$${}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) = \left\{ s \in S_0 = \mathbf{S}_0(F) \mid \begin{array}{l} |s^\alpha|_F \leq \varepsilon \ (\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I), \\ \varepsilon < |s^\alpha|_F \leq 1 \ (\alpha \in I) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We abbreviate $S_I^- = S_I^-(1)$ and $S_0^- = S_{\Delta_\sigma}^-(1)$. We note that if $\alpha \in \Delta_\sigma$ and $s \in S_0$, then $s^\alpha = s^{\sigma(\alpha)} = (s^{-1})^\alpha$, so that $|s^\alpha|_F = 1$.

Lemma 3.3 ([KT2, Lemma 1.6]) For any $\varepsilon < 1$, one has

$$S_0^- = \bigcup_{I \subset \Delta: \sigma\text{-split}} {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) \text{ (disjoint).}$$

It will turn out that the behaviors of H -matrix coefficients are determined essentially on S_0^- , and furthermore, on the subset ${}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)$, they are connected to $M_I \cap H$ -matrix coefficients of the Jacquet module along P_I .

4 Asymptotic behaviors of H -matrix coefficients

Let (π, V) be an admissible representation of G . Only when $P = MU$ is a σ -split parabolic subgroup, we have defined in [KT1] a linear mapping

$$r_P : (V^*)^H \rightarrow (V_P^*)^{M \cap H}$$

between the spaces of invariant linear forms. If $v \in V$ is a canonical lifting ([C, §4]) of $\bar{v} \in V_P$ with respect to a suitable σ -stable open compact subgroup, then $r_P(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$ is well-defined by the relation

$$\langle r_P(\lambda), \bar{v} \rangle = \langle \lambda, v \rangle$$

(see [KT1, 5.3(2)]). The same mapping was constructed independently by N. Lagier [L] in a different manner. P. Delorme extended the construction of such mappings to any smooth representations by using Bernstein's *second adjointness theorem* in [D].

Now, through the mapping $r_P : (V^*)^H \rightarrow (V_P^*)^{M \cap H}$, the H -matrix coefficients of π are related to the $M \cap H$ -matrix coefficients of the Jacquet module π_P as follows:

Proposition 4.1 ([KT2, 3.3]) *Let I be a σ -split subset of Δ and $P = P_I$ the corresponding σ -split parabolic subgroup with the (σ, F) -split component $S = S_I$. Let (π, V) be an H -distinguished admissible representation of G and $v \in V$, $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. There exists a positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$ such that*

$$\langle \lambda, \pi(s)v \rangle = \delta_P^{1/2}(s) \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s)j_P(v) \rangle$$

for all $s \in {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)$.

Remark 4.2 In [KT1, 6.2], we have shown the following criterion for (H, λ) -relative cuspidality in terms of r_P : *The representation π is (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal if and only if $r_P(\lambda) = 0$ for every proper σ -split parabolic subgroup P .*

5 Main theorem

Let (π, V) be a finitely generated H -distinguished admissible representation of G , with a non-zero H -invariant linear form $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. Let $P = MU$ be a σ -split

parabolic subgroup of G with the (σ, F) -split component S . We let $\mathcal{X}(S)$ denote the set of all quasi-characters of S and for a $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(S)$, we consider the *generalized χ -eigenspace* $(V_P)_{\chi, \infty}$ as in section 1.

Definition 5.1 A quasi-character $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(S)$ is called an *exponent of π_P relative to $r_P(\lambda)$* if the induced linear form $r_P(\lambda)$ on V_P is non-zero on the generalized χ -eigenspace $(V_P)_{\chi, \infty}$. The set of all such exponents is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_S(\pi_P; r_P(\lambda))$:

$$\mathcal{E}_S(\pi_P; r_P(\lambda)) = \left\{ \chi \in \mathcal{X}(S) \mid r_P(\lambda)|_{(V_P)_{\chi, \infty}} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Now we consider the following condition imposed on P and λ :

$$(\sharp_{P, \lambda}) \quad |\chi(s)| < 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in \mathcal{E}_S(\pi_P; r_P(\lambda)) \text{ and all } s \in S^- \setminus Z_0 \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{O}_F).$$

The main theorem of [KT2] is the following:

Theorem 5.2 ([KT2, 4.7]) *Let (π, V) be a finitely generated H -distinguished admissible representation of G , with a non-zero H -invariant linear form $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. Then, the representation (π, V) is (H, λ) -square integrable if and only if the condition $(\sharp_{P, \lambda})$ is satisfied for every σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G .*

Remark 5.3 By combining our criterion and Casselman's criterion, we have the following (possibly non-trivial) corollary: *If (π, V) is H -distinguished and is square integrable in the usual sense, then it is (H, λ) -square integrable for any $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$.*

6 Ingredients of the proof

To evaluate the L^2 -norm of the (H, λ) -matrix coefficients, we first decompose G/Z_0H according to the analogue of Cartan decomposition. We fix a σ -stable open compact subgroup K_0 of G which has Iwahori factorization with respect to each σ -split parabolic subgroup. This is not a maximal compact subgroup. [BO] and [DS] gave the following: *There is a finite set Ξ of G and a finite set Γ of $(\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{H})(F)$ such that*

$$G = \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi K_0 s^{-1} \gamma H.$$

Choose ε so that Proposition 4.1 is valid for all I and put

$$G_{I,\gamma} = \bigcup_{s \in {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)/Z_0 \mathbf{S}_0(\mathcal{O})} K_0 s^{-1} \gamma H$$

for each σ -split subset $I \subset \Delta$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then we have

$$G/Z_0 H = \bigcup_{\xi, \gamma, I} \xi G_{I,\gamma}/Z_0 H$$

by Lemma 3.3. Now, the evaluation of the L^2 -norm of $\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda,v}$ starts from

$$\int_{G/Z_0 H} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg \leq \sum_{\xi, \gamma, I} \left(\int_{\xi G_{I,\gamma}/Z_0 H} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg \right).$$

We may drop ξ by changing vector suitably. To prove the *if part* of the main theorem, it is enough to show the following:

Claim. *If the condition $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ is satisfied for $P = \gamma^{-1} P_I \gamma$ (see Lemma 3.2 (ii)), then*

$$\int_{G_{I,\gamma}/Z_0 H} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg < \infty.$$

The integral is bounded by the series

$$\sum_{s \in {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)/Z_0 \mathbf{S}_0(\mathcal{O})} \int_{K_0 s^{-1} \gamma Z_0 H/Z_0 H} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg$$

over the lattice ${}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)/Z_0 \mathbf{S}_0(\mathcal{O})$. Each term can be evaluated as

$$\int_{K_0 s^{-1} \gamma Z_0 H/Z_0 H} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg \leq C \cdot \text{vol}(K_0 s^{-1} \gamma Z_0 H/Z_0 H) \cdot |\varphi(s^{-1} \gamma)|^2$$

by a constant C . Look at the term where $\gamma = 1$ for simplicity. In the right hand side,

$$\varphi(s^{-1}) = \langle \lambda, \pi(s)v \rangle = \delta_P(s)^{1/2} \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s)j_P(v) \rangle$$

by Proposition 4.1. To proceed further, we need the following volume computation.

Lemma 6.1 ([KT2, 2.6]) *For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exist positive real constants c_1 and c_2 such that*

$$c_1 \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s^{-1}) \leq \text{vol}(K_0 s^{-1} \gamma Z_0 H/Z_0 H) \leq c_2 \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s^{-1})$$

for all $s \in S_0^-$.

From this lemma, the volume factor can be replaced by (a constant times) $\delta_{P_0}(s^{-1})$, so the problem reduces to the series

$$\sum_{s \in_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)/Z_0 \mathbf{S}_0(\mathcal{O})} \left| \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s) j_P(v) \rangle \right|^2,$$

whose convergence will follow from the condition $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$.

7 Examples

7.1 The symmetric space $GL_2(E)/GL_2(F)$ where E/F is quadratic

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of non-archimedean local fields and $\omega_{E/F}$ be the unique non-trivial character of F^\times trivial on the norm image $N_{E/F}(E^\times)$.

Let G be the group $GL_2(E)$ and consider the involution σ on G defined by

$$\sigma(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{(\cdot)}$ denotes the conjugation over F . Then the subgroup H of σ -fixed points in G is isomorphic to $GL_2(F)$. The standard parabolic subgroup $P = \{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in G \}$ is σ -split, with the σ -stable Levi subgroup $T = \{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in G \}$. Any proper σ -split parabolic subgroup is H -conjugate to P .

Non-cuspidal irreducible H -distinguished representations of G are completely determined in Hakim's thesis [H]:

1. The irreducible principal series $\text{Ind}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$, with $\chi_2 = \bar{\chi}_1^{-1}$.
2. The irreducible principal series $\text{Ind}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$, with $\chi_i|_{F^\times} \equiv 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$.
3. The spacial representation $\text{sp}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$, with $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|_E$ and $\chi_1 |\cdot|_E^{-1/2} = \chi_2 |\cdot|_E^{1/2} = \omega_{E/F}$ on F^\times .

Here, for quasi-characters χ_1, χ_2 of E^\times , $\text{Ind}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ stands for the normalized induction determined by the quasi-character $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi_1(a)\chi_2(d)$ of T . In any case, it is known that the dimension of the space of H -invariant linear forms is one.

The representations in the second class are (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal (see Remark 2.2 for the definition, and Remark 4.2 for the criterion). Indeed, the Jacquet module along P is the direct sum $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \oplus (\chi_2, \chi_1)$ of characters of T . If $\chi_i|_F \equiv 1$, then we have

$$\chi_1 = \bar{\chi}_1^{-1}, \quad \chi_2 = \bar{\chi}_2^{-1},$$

so $\chi_2 \neq \bar{\chi}_1^{-1}$ provided that $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$. Thus, neither (χ_1, χ_2) nor (χ_2, χ_1) cannot be trivial on $T \cap H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

The representations in the third class provide examples of Remark 5.3.

7.2 The symmetric space $GL_3(F)/(GL_2(F) \times GL_1(F))$

Let G be the group $GL_3(F)$ and σ the inner involution $\sigma = \text{Int}(\epsilon)$ defined by the anti-diagonal permutation matrix $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$. Then the σ -fixed point subgroup H is isomorphic to $GL_2(F) \times GL_1(F)$. For this symmetric space, all the irreducible H -distinguished representations were determined by D. Prasad [P].

Form the normalized induction

$$\pi(\rho) = \text{Ind}_{P_{1,2}}^G(1_{GL_1(F)} \otimes \rho)$$

from the standard parabolic $P_{1,2}$ of type $(1, 2)$ and an irreducible representation ρ of $GL_2(F)$. Then $\pi(\rho)$ is irreducible, and is H -distinguished. The Borel subgroup P_0 consisting of upper triangular matrices is the only proper σ -split parabolic of G up to H -conjugacy. It is easy to determine exponents of $\pi(\rho)$ along P_0 . By using our criterion, we may conclude that $\pi(\rho)$ belongs to the discrete series for G/H if ρ is the Steinberg representation of $GL_2(F)$. See [KT2, 5.1] for details.

7.3 The symmetric space $GL_4(F)/Sp_2(F)$

Let G be the group $GL_4(F)$ and σ the involution on G defined by

$$\sigma(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad (g \in G).$$

Then the σ -fixed point subgroup H is the symplectic group $Sp_2(F)$. For this symmetric space, H -distinguished representations were studied by Heumos-Rallis [HR]. Let ρ be an irreducible admissible representation of $GL_2(F)$ and form the normalized induction

$$I(\rho) = \text{Ind}_{P_{2,2}}^G(\rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{1/2} \otimes \rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{-1/2}).$$

where $P_{2,2}$ is the standard parabolic of type $(2, 2)$. Then $I(\rho)$ has the unique irreducible quotient $\pi(\rho)$ which is H -distinguished ([HR, 11.1(b)]). One can show that $\pi(\rho)$ belongs to the discrete series for G/H if ρ is the Steinberg representation of $GL_2(F)$. See [KT2, 5.2] for details.

References

- [BO] Y. Benoist and H. Oh, *Polar decomposition for p -adic symmetric spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2007(24)** (2007), 1–20.
- [C] W. Casselman, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups*, Unpublished manuscript, 1974, available at the website <http://www.math.ubc.ca/people/faculty/cass/research.html>
- [D] P. Delorme, *Constant term of smooth H_ψ -spherical functions on a reductive p -adic group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362(2)** (2010), 933–955.
- [DS] P. Delorme and V. Sécherre, *An analogue of the Cartan decomposition for p -adic reductive symmetric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **251(1)** (2011), 1–21.
- [H] J. Hakim, *Distinguished p -adic representations*, Duke Math. J. **62(1)** (1991), 1–22.
- [HH] A.G. Helminck and G.F. Helminck, *A class of parabolic k -subgroups associated with symmetric k -varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 4669–4691.
- [HR] M. J. Heumos and S. Rallis, *Symplectic-Whittaker models for GL_n* , Pacific J. Math. **146** (1990), 247–279.
- [KT1] S. Kato and K. Takano, *Subrepresentation theorem for p -adic symmetric spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2008(12)** (2008), 1–40.
- [KT2] S. Kato and K. Takano, *Square integrability of representations on p -adic symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 1427–1451.
- [L] N. Lagier, *Terme constant de fonctions sur un espace symétrique réductif p -adique*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), 1088–1145.
- [P] D. Prasad, *On the decomposition of a representation of $GL(3)$ restricted to $GL(2)$ over a p -adic field*, Duke Math. J. **69(1)** (1993), 167–177.